

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/760,576	01/16/2001	Michael Trenk	P/2167-250	2902
7	7590 02/08/2005	EXAMINER		
MICHAEL J.		PATEL, JAGDISH		
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
41 ST FLOOR			3624	
NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summan	09/760,576	TRENK ET AL.
○ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	JAGDISH PATEL	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16 Ja</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application trity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3624

### DETAILED ACTION

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 8/21/01 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC §101

- 2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:
  - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requires of this title.
- 3. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
  - 1. Technological Art requirement for Business Related
    Applications (applied to claims 1-26)

The invention(s) as recited in the claims is merely an abstract idea that is not within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter.

The examiner presents the following rulings in support of the aforementioned analysis.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,576

Art Unit: 3624

Page 3

The phrase "technological arts" is synonymous with the phrase "useful arts" as it appears in Article I, Section 8 of the Constitution, *In re Waldbaum*, 167 USPQ 280 (CCPA 1970) and *In re Johnston*, 183 USPQ 172 (CCPA 1974).

More recent ruling by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences (Ex Parte Bowman, 61 USPQ2d 1669) also supports the assertion that an invention must "promote the progress of science and the useful arts" and that the invention must fall within the definitions of technological arts in order to be statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Note that the Bowman ruling cannot be used as a precedent but cited for its analysis of the claims for patentability under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Regarding method claims 1-8 and 19-26, the process steps do not involve any technological means (which in most cases of business application like the instant case are) such as computer(s) and/or computer networks. In absence of recitation of such technological means (to perform the recited processes of the method claims), the claims are treated as non-statutory subject matter.

It is also asserted that the technological implementation must be indicated in the process of the claims in a "non-trivial" manner. For example, the key limitation(s) which form the inventive concept must be recited in technological art consistent with the disclosure in order to meet the spirit of the technological art requirement under 35 USC 101.

## 2. Non-Functional Data Structure

Claims 9-18 are directed to disembodied data structure which are per se are not statuatory (In re Warmerdam, No. 93-1294 (Fed. Cir. August 11, 1994)).

Note that functional descriptive material consists of data structure and computer programs which impart functionality when employed as a computer component. Nonfunctional descriptive material includes but is not limited to music, literary works and a compilation or mere arrangement of data. The instant claims are directed to such non functional descriptive material.

Quoting MPEP section 2106. IV. B. 1.

"When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, it is not statutory since no requisite functionality is present to satisfy the practical application requirement. Merely claiming nonfunctional descriptive material stored in a computer-readable medium does not make it statutory. Such a result would exalt form over substance. In re Sarkar, 588 F.2d 1330, 1333, 200 USPQ 132, 137 (CCPA 1978) ("[E]ach invention must be evaluated as claimed; yet semantogenic considerations preclude a determination based solely on words appearing in the claims. In the final analysis under 101, the claimed invention, as a whole, must be evaluated for what it is.") (quoted with approval in Abele, 684 F.2d at 907, 214 USPQ at 687). See also In re Johnson, 589 F.2d 1070, 1077, 200 USPQ 199, 206 (CCPA 1978) ("form of the claim is often an exercise in drafting"). Thus, nonstatutory music is not a computer component and it does not become statutory by merely recording it on a compact disk."

Even if such passive data structures (for example a legal document, a contract as is the present case) are implemented on a computer medium they are not capable of performing any function. Only when the Data structures are used or accessed in conjunction with programmed computer instructions codes to realize the underlying functionality. In the instant case, the data

Application/Control Number: 09/760,576

Art Unit: 3624

structure is mere arrangement of non functional data pertaining to a contractual arrangement which (even if recorded in a computer readable medium, such as a compact disk or a computer storage medium) cannot be made functional.

Page 5

3. Claims 9-18 recite Presence of Human in the claimed Subject Matter

A claim directed to or including within its scope a human being will not be considered to be patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. Claims 9-18 recite within its scope a human being (investors who provide second money). Per Commissioner Quigg's notice published at 1077 OG 24 (April 21, 1987), "a claim directed to or including within its scope a human being will not be considered to be a patentable subject matter under 35 U.S. C. 101"

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (703)308-7837. The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-600PM M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703)308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/760,576

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3624)

2/5/05